

THE NORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS FILED A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

(A full description, if necessary, and a copy of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.)

Agreement with respect to the claims (was reached, was not reached, N/A).

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Claim(s) discussed: _____

If Yes, brief description: _____

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No.

Type: Telephonic Video Conference Personal [Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative]

Date of Interview: 25 March 2008.

(1) D. Margaret Seaman. 
 (2) Mark Cohen. 

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): _____

Interview Summary			
Applicant(s)	Application No.	Examiner	Att Unit
BARNHAM ET AL.	10/521,902	D. Margaret Seaman	1625

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Rejections of record were discussed. 112-1st paragraph for solvate/hydrate...Applicant will provide declaration showing possession of hydrate/solvate. This will overcome rejection of 112, 1st for enabling element for R3 and R₁ being other than H...Claim 30 page 3 lines 2-3 show the substitution. Rejection of 112, 1st for enabling element for R3 and R₁ being other than H...Claim 30 page 3 lines 2-3 show the being NHAC. Applicant will amend the claims around the Kacens and Validya art for 102. 103 Rejection will be overcome by showing of comparative data of closest prior art to closest claimed invention.